NORDEMANN

Art. 17 EU DSM Copyright Directive - German implementation

18th Annual US-EU-DE Copyright Summit – Platform Responsibility

October 15, 2020

Prof. Dr. Jan Bernd Nordemann, LL.M. (Cambridge)

German attorney at-law in Berlin • Partner at NORDEMANN Certified copyright and media rights lawyer Certified industrial property rights lawyer Adjunct/honorary professor at Humboldt-University Berlin

- German "Discussion Draft" of June 24, 2020
- German Ministerial Draft published on October 13, 2020

- German "Discussion Draft" of June 24, 2020
- German Ministerial Draft published on October 13, 2020
 - This is getting serious!

- The German implementation follows the "sui generis" approach
 Art. 17 a new legal regime of its own.
 - National freedom to implement Art. 17.
- A lot of room for German creativity for implementation.

- Germany would be free to create a new separate legislative act (outside the German Copyright Act), which specifically implements Art. 17: The Copyright Service Provider Act.
 - OCSSP liable for any unauthorized communication to the public on platform. But:

- Germany would be free to create a new separate legislative act (outside the German Copyright Act), which specifically implements Art. 17: The Copyright Service Provider Act.
 - OCSSP liable for any unauthorized communication to the public on platform. But:
 - Does "communication to the public" in the new Act mean the same as before e.g. in Art. 3 EU Copyright Directive 2001/29?
 - Does this "communication to the public" come under international copyright law, e.g. Art. 10 WCT?

 Germany would be free to implement Art. 17 with new exceptions and limitations not known yet in EU law

§ 6 Mechanically verifiable uses authorized by law

(1)The communication to the public and the reproduction required for this purpose of copyright-protected works and parts of works for non-commercial purposes is permitted to the following extent:

1. up to 20 seconds of a film work or moving picture, ...

- Germany would be free to provide a separate direct remuneration claim for authors (and performing artists) against OCSSP in case of licensed uses.
 - Not waivable by contract between the producer and the author.
 - Management by collecting societies.

- Germany would be free to limit staydown obligations of Art. 17.
 - No liability of OCSSP for illegal uploads, if pre-flagging as legal and preflagging not obviously wrong
 - Obviously wrong pre-flagging, if the content uploaded by the user corresponds to at least 90 percent of the information provided by the rightholder.

YouTube/Uploaded - CJEU and German BGH

- The YouTube case and Uploaded (cyberlocker) case pending before the CJEU.
 - Does Art. 3 EU Copyright Directive 2001/29 already hold YouTube and Uploaded directly liable for copyright infringements by users?
 - Comparable to Art. 17 EU DSM Copyright Directive?
- CJEU Attorney General:
 - No direct liability of YouTube and Uploaded.
 - Only secondary responsibility pursuant Art. 8 (3) Copyright Directive 2001/29.
 - Art. 17 DSM Directive does not apply yet to this case.
- No CJEU judgment date yet.
- German Federal Supreme Court (BGH) will finally decide case after CJEU.

NORDEMANN

Thank you.

Prof. Dr. Jan Bernd Nordemann, LL.M. (Cambridge)

jan.nordemann@nordemann.de

Nordemann Czychowski & Partner
Rechtsanwältinnen und Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft mbB

Registergericht: Amtsgericht Potsdam • Partnerschaftsregister PR 162 P

Office Berlin:

Kurfürstendamm 59 10707 Berlin Telefon: +49 30 8632398-0 Fax: +49 30 8632398-21 info@nordemann.de Office Potsdam:

Helene-Lange-Straße 3 14469 Potsdam Telefon: +49 331 27543-0 Fax: +49 331 27543-21 info@nordemann.de