

Copyright Enforcement in Germany

18th Annual US-EU-DE Copyright Summit

October 22nd, 2020

Mirko Brüß

German attorney-at-law
Content Protection Coordinator



The case:

- Constantin found full copies of several movies on YouTube
- YouTube was asked for disclosure of information about:

the name, postal address, email address, telephone number and IP address of the uploader(s)

YouTube disclosed the "names" of its users:

"wholeMovies4u", "Ultrafield" and "schwermax"

The law:

- Art. 8 Enforcement Directive (2004/48/EC) = Right of information
- Transposed in Germany in § 101 UrhG
- → Grants a ROI against intermediaries to obtain the "names and addresses" of infringers

The questions:

- What is covered by Article 8 Enforcement-Dir. and § 101 UrhG?
- Does the term "addresses" include
 - >the email address?
 - ➤ the telephone number?
 - >the IP address?

The CJEU's position (C-264/19):

- The term "addresses" only refers to the postal address
- EU chose to provide for minimum harmonisation
- Member states have option to provide for broader ROI

Back to the FCJ (I ZR 153/17):

- FCJ sided with CJEU
- Found that German legislator chose to provide for minimum harmonisation
- No other grounds for ROI available, as § 101 UrhG = lex specialis

The outcome:

The CJEU & FCJ grant:

Real name Postal address

Constantin needs:

Email address
Phone number
IP address

YouTube has:

Fake name

Email address

Phone number

IP address

Going forward:

- Current status does not provide for an effective remedy
 - > Rightsowners cannot act upon infringement
- Level of harmonisation too low
 - ➤ Different scope of ROI in all Member States
- Real name and postal address regularly unavailable or false
 - >KY(B)C
- German legislator must act
 - > Provide for more complete ROI in national law



Thanks for your attention!

Mirko Brüß

mirko@bruess.law

